arXiv:1606.07173v3 [gr-qc] 4 Oct 2016

Relativistic Multipole Moments of the Quevedo-Mashhoon and the Manko-Novikov Metrics

Francisco Frutos-Alfaro
School of Physics and Space Research Center of the University of Costa Rica
Michael Soffel
Technical University Dresden and Lohrmann Observatory

October 5, 2016

Abstract

Among the known exact solutions of Einstein's vacuum field equations the Manko-Novikov and the Quevedo-Mashhoon metrics might be suitable ones for the description of the exterior gravitational field of some real non-collapsed body. By means of the Fodor-Hoenselaers-Perjés formalism we computed the lowest ten relativistic multipole moments of these metrics. Corresponding moments were derived for the static vacuum solutions of Gutsunayev-Manko and Hernández-Martín. A direct comparison between the multipole moments of these non-isometric spacetimes is given. All these multipole moments were calculated with REDUCE programs.

1 Introduction

In 1968, Ernst developed a complex procedure to simplify the Einstein field equations (EFE) for a stationary Weyl-Lewis-Papapetrou (WLP) type metric by means of two complex potentials [7, 8]. After this seminal work, several methods to find new solutions of the EFE were developed by Hoenselaers, Kinnersley and Xanthopoulos (HKX) [17], Belinsky and Zakharov [1, 2], among others. The HKX method was employed by Quevedo and Mashhoon to find new stationary solutions from the Erez-Rosen spacetime as seed metric [21, 24, 25]. The Erez-Rosen metric is an exact vacuum solution of EFE representing a static metric with a mass and a quadrupole parameter (M and Q) [4]. The Quevedo-Mashhoon (QM) metric is an axially-symmetric stationary vacuum solution with parameters M, a and q_n with n being an (even) integer (q_2 can be identified with a quadrupole parameter) [3]. The Manko-Novikov (MN) metric was derived by solving the Ernst equations using the techniques developed by Yamazaki, Cosgrove, Dietz and Hoenselaers [33, 5, 6]. This metric has parameters k, α (representing mass and rotation parameter, respectively) and α_n (α_2 representing the quadrupole) [19]. Among the exact stationary vacuum solutions of EFE these metrics

could be appropriate ones for the description of the exterior gravitational field of some real non-collapsed body simply because of its large freedom in choosing the multipole moments of the exterior gravitational field. Note, however, that the spin moments of higher order cannot be chosen freely but are totally determined by the set of parameters just mentioned; they might be far from those of a realistic body (e.g., Panhans and Soffel, and Teyssandier [20, 30]).
Geroch and Hansen (GH) [11, 14] have provided a definition for mass and spin multipole moments for a stationary spacetime with asymptotic flatness. Thorne, Simon and Beig gave definitions of relativistic multipole moments [29, 31] even for non-stationary spacetimes. Gürsel proved that the GH multipole moments are equivalent to the Thorne moments for stationary systems [12]. From the Ernst formalism, Fodor, Hoenselaers and Perjés (FHP) found an elegant method to derive explicit expressions for the multipole moments of a given stationary (axially symmetric) spacetime with asymptotic flatness [10]. Later, this method was generalized by Hoenselaers and Perjés [18]. Another method for deriving the relativistic multipole moments was devised by Ryan [28].
In this paper, the MN and the QM metric are briefly reviewed in Section 2. We then derive the lowest relativistic multipole moments by means of the FHP-method (in our notation $\mathcal{M}_{2l}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{2l+1}$ denote the GH mass and spin moments for the axially symmetric case) in section 3. As limits the corresponding moments of the Schwarzschild, Kerr and Erez-Rosen metrics are contained. For three static cases, the Gutsunayev-Manko and Hernández-Martín (I and II) metrics, corresponding mass moments were rederived and compared with those from the MN and the QM metrics. We wrote a REDUCE program to get the first eleven multipole moments. Some conclusions are given.

2 The Stationary Metrics

The stationary spacetime is represented by the WLP metric in prolate spheroidal coordinates $(t,\, x,\, y, \phi)$

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -f(dt-\omega d\phi)^2 \\ &+ \frac{\sigma^2}{f}\left[\mathrm{e}^{2\gamma}(x^2-y^2)\left(\frac{dx^2}{x^2-1}+\frac{dy^2}{1-y^2}\right)+(x^2-1)(1-y^2)d\phi^2\right], \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where

$$\sigma^2 = m^2 - a^2.$$

The function f and ω are related with the twist scalar Ω through

$$f^2\nabla\omega = \rho\boldsymbol{\phi}\times\nabla\Omega, \tag{2}$$

with $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ as the azimuthal unit vector and ρ the cylindrical coordinate.

The Ernst potential is $\mathcal{E} = f + i\Omega$, and the Ernst function is given by

$$\xi = \frac{1+\mathcal{E}}{1-\mathcal{E}} \tag{3}$$

This Ernst function and its inverse ξ^{-1} fulfill the Ernst equation

$$(\xi\xi^\star - 1)\nabla^2\xi = 2\xi^\star[\nabla\xi]^2. \tag{4}$$

There are several techniques to solve this Ernst equation, see for instance [17, 1, 2, 33, 5, 6].

2.1 The Manko-Novikov Metric

This metric represents the spacetime of a rotating massive object with mass and spin multipole moments. This metric has parameters: k, α, and α_n, $n > 1$. The parameters k and α are related with the mass and the rotation, respectively. It contains the following exterior metrics

- Schwarzschild, $\alpha = \alpha_n = 0$,
- Kerr, $\alpha_n = 0$.

To compare it with the Kerr or QM metric one has to set

$$\begin{aligned} M &= k\left(\frac{1+\alpha^2}{1-\alpha^2}\right) \\ a &= -\frac{2k\alpha}{1-\alpha^2} \\ Q &= -k^3\alpha_2 \\ k^2 &= \sigma^2 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

If there is no rotation, $\alpha = 0$, then k represents the mass. This solution has an infinite set of relativistic mass and spin multipoles. They correspond to the Kerr ones if $\alpha_n = 0$.
The Ernst function is

$$\xi = \mathrm{e}^{2\psi}\frac{\mathcal{A}_-}{\mathcal{A}_+} \tag{6}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_\mp = x(1+ab) + iy(b-a) \mp (1-ia)(1-ib). \tag{7}$$

From this Ernst function (6), employing methods developed by Yamazaki, Cosgrove, Dietz and Hoenselaers [33, 5, 6], they generated the following metric potentials

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \frac{A}{B}\mathrm{e}^{2\psi}, \\ \omega &= 2k\frac{C}{A}\mathrm{e}^{-2\psi} - 4k\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha^2}, \\ \mathrm{e}^{2\gamma} &= \frac{A\mathrm{e}^{2\chi}}{(1-\alpha^2)^2(x^2-1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (x^2-1)(1+\eta_1\eta_2)^2 - (1-y^2)(\eta_2-\eta_1)^2, \\ B &= [x+1+(x-1)\eta_1\eta_2]^2 + [(1+y)\eta_1 + (1-y)\eta_2]^2, \\ C &= (x^2-1)(1+\eta_1\eta_2)[\eta_2-\eta_1-y(\eta_1+\eta_2)] \\ &+ (1-y^2)(\eta_2-\eta_1)[1+\eta_1\eta_2+x(1-\eta_1\eta_2)], \\ \psi &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n\frac{P_n}{R^{n+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The functions η_1, η_2, χ_1 and χ_2 are

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= -\alpha\mathrm{e}^{-2\chi_1}, \\ \eta_2 &= \alpha\mathrm{e}^{2\chi_2}, \\ \chi_1 &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{m}\alpha_m\left[(x-y)\frac{P_n}{R^{n+1}} - 1\right], \\ \chi_2 &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{m}\alpha_m\left[(-1)^{m-n+1}(x+y)\frac{P_n}{R^{n+1}} + (-1)^n\right], \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

with following definitions

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \sqrt{x^2+y^2-1}, \\ P_n &= P_n\left(\frac{xy}{R}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The γ function is not involved in the calculation of the relativistic multipole moments. The interested reader may consult the references. In the section 3, the Ernst function ξ will be employed to determine the mass and spin multipole moments of the MN spacetime.

2.2 The Quevedo-Mashhoon Metric

The QM metric is a stationary axisymmetric solution of the vacuum EFE. This spacetime has parameters: M, a, and q_n, $n > 1$, which represent the mass, the rotation parameter and additional multipole moment parameters of the object. It has another parameter, the Zipoy-Voorhees parameter, which we set $\delta = 1$. In general, it presents a naked singularity. It contains the following exterior metrics

- Schwarzschild, $a = q_n = 0$,
- Erez-Rosen (ER), $a = 0$, $q = q_2$, $q_n = 0$, $(n > 2)$ [4],
- Kerr, $q_n = 0$,
- Hartle-Thorne (HT), a and q small, and $q_n = 0$, $(n > 2)$ [3].

In our notation the parameters $m \equiv GM/c^2$ and a have the dimension of a length and the parameters q_n are dimensionless.
This solution is characterized by an infinite set of relativistic mass and spin multipoles. They correspond to the Kerr ones if $q_n = 0$, and to the Erez-Rosen ones if $a = 0$ [25]. Boshkayev *et al.* have shown how to get the HT from the QM metric [3]. The HT metric is an approximate solution of the EFE for an object with three parameters, mass, spin and quadrupole moment. Although this solution is intended for slow rotation, it also has been employed to model fast rotating neutron stars. It has been argued (e.g. Boshkayev [3]) that the QM spacetime could be applied to model real astrophysical objects, such as neutron stars.
From two HKX transformations on the static Ernst potential $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathrm{e}^{-2\psi}$ for the ER spacetime as seed metric, Quevedo and Mashhoon found the new Ernst potential, which is given by [21, 25]

$$\xi = \frac{(a_+ + ib_+) + (a_- + ib_-)\mathrm{e}^{-2\psi}}{(a_+ + ib_+) - (a_- + ib_-)\mathrm{e}^{-2\psi}}, \tag{12}$$

From the Ernst function (12), they found the following functions [3, 21, 24, 25]

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{B}}\mathrm{e}^{-2\psi}, \\ \omega &= -2\left(a + \sigma\frac{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{A}}\mathrm{e}^{2\psi}\right), \\ \mathrm{e}^{2\gamma} &= \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{m}{\sigma}\right)^2 \frac{\mathcal{A}}{(x^2-1)}\mathrm{e}^{2\chi}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The functions $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} &= a_+a_- + b_+b_-, \\
\mathcal{B} &= a_+^2 + b_+^2, \\
\mathcal{C} &= \left[x(1-y^2)(\lambda+\eta)a_+ + y(x^2-1)(1-\lambda\eta)b_+\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{14}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_\pm &= x(1-\lambda\eta) \pm (1+\lambda\eta), \\
b_\pm &= y(\lambda+\eta) \mp (\lambda-\eta),
\end{aligned} \tag{15}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda &= \alpha \mathrm{e}^{2\delta_+}, \\
\eta &= \alpha \mathrm{e}^{2\delta_-}, \\
\alpha a &= \sigma - m.
\end{aligned}
$$

For the QM metric the potentials ψ and $\delta_\pm$ are of the form [22, 26]

$$
\psi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n q_n P_n(y) Q_n(x), \tag{16}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_\pm &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n q_n \left[(\pm 1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{(x \pm y)^2}{x^2-1} \right] - Q_1(x) \right) + P_n(y) Q_{n-1}(x) \right. \\
&+ \left. \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\pm 1)^k P_{n-k}(y) (Q_{n-k+1}(x) - Q_{n-k-1}(x)) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{17}
$$

The functions $P_l(y)$ and $Q_l(x)$ are Legendre polynomials of the first and second kind, respectively. The general form of the potential χ was determined by Quevedo [23, 25]. To find the relativistic multipole moments, one does not need this χ function. The interested reader may consult the references.

With the Computer Algebra System REDUCE [15], we were able to check the validity of the Ernst equation directly without employing HKX-transformations. In the next section, the Ernst function ξ will be employed to determine the relativistic multipole moments of the QM spacetime.

3 Relativistic Multipole Moments

There are several methods to get the spin and quadrupole moments from a given metric [10, 12, 28]. In this section, we apply the FHP procedure to the QM metric. An excellent review of the FHP formalism was given by Filter [9] in his diploma thesis. Filter also found the $\mathcal{S}_{11}$ component in the same way. The procedure to obtain the relativistic multipole moments is the following [10]

1. employ the inverse Ernst potential ξ^{-1},
2. set $y = \cos\theta = 1$, and $\sigma x \to 1/z$ into ξ^{-1},
3. expand in Taylor series of z the inverse Ernst potential, and finally,
4. use the Fodor-Hoenselaers-Perjés (FHP) formulae [10].

To get the relativistic multipole moment, we wrote a REDUCE program with the latter recipe.

3.1 The Manko-Novikov Multipole Moments

Taking the first 10 even members of the ψ function

$$\psi = \sum_{n=1}^{n=10} \alpha_n \frac{P_n}{R^{n+1}}, \tag{18}$$

and defining

$$\beta^2 = 1 - \frac{a^2}{m^2}, \tag{19}$$

we derive the lowest relativistic multipole moments for the MN metric

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_0 &= M \\
\mathcal{S}_1 &= S \\
\mathcal{M}_2 &= Q - Sa \\
\mathcal{S}_3 &= 4aQ - Sa^2 \\
\mathcal{M}_4 &= Sa^3 - \frac{4}{7}Q(7a^2 + 2m^2) - k\alpha_4\beta^4 m^4 \\
\mathcal{S}_5 &= Sa^4 - \frac{2}{21}aQ(42a^2 + 31m^2) - 4k\alpha_4\beta^4 m^4 a \\
\mathcal{M}_6 &= -Sa^5 + Q\left(4a^4 + \frac{22}{7}m^2a^2 + \frac{19}{33}m^4 + \frac{60}{77}k^3\alpha_2 m\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{11}k\alpha_4\left(44a^6 - 71m^2a^4 + 10m^4a^2 + 17m^6\right) - k\alpha_6\beta^6 m^6 \\
\mathcal{S}_7 &= -Sa^6 + Qa\left(4a^4 + \frac{490}{143}m^2a^2 + \frac{584}{429}m^4 - \frac{128}{143}k^3\alpha_2 m\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{143}k\alpha_4 a\left(572a^6 - 482m^2a^4 - 752m^4a^2 + 662m^6\right) - 4k\alpha_6\beta^6 m^6 a \\
\mathcal{M}_8 &= Sa^7 - Q\left(4a^6 + \frac{1486}{429}m^2a^4 + \frac{664}{429}m^4a^2 + \frac{34}{143}m^6\right. \\
&- \left. k^3\alpha_2\left(\frac{2368}{429}ma^2 - \frac{4364}{3003}m^3 - \frac{40}{143}k^3\alpha_2\right)\right) + k\alpha_4\left(-4a^8 + \frac{458}{143}m^2a^6\right. \\
&+ \left.\frac{48}{11}m^4a^4 - \frac{334}{143}m^6a^2 - \frac{16}{13}m^8 - \frac{226}{143}k^3\alpha_2\beta^4 m^5\right) \\
&+ 2k\alpha_6\left(m^8 + 5m^2a^6 - 3m^4a^4 - m^6a^2 - 2a^8\right) - k\alpha_8\beta^8 m^8 \\
\mathcal{S}_9 &= Sa^8 - aQ\left(4a^6 + \frac{8586}{2431}m^2a^4 + \frac{4384}{2431}m^4a^2 + \frac{1310}{2431}m^6\right. \\
&- \left. k^3\alpha_2\left(\frac{21806}{2431}ma^2 + \frac{15870}{17017}m^3 + \frac{51464}{7293}k^3\alpha_2\right)\right) \\
&- k\alpha_4 a\left(4a^8 - \frac{6762}{2431}m^2a^6 - \frac{7662}{2431}m^4a^4 - \frac{3286}{2431}m^6a^2\right. \\
&+ \left.\frac{726}{221}m^8 + \frac{5010}{2431}\beta^4 Q m^5\right) \\
&- \frac{1}{17}k\alpha_6 a\left(68a^8 - 94m^2a^6 - 126m^4a^4 + 262m^6a^2 - 110m^8\right) - 4k\alpha_8\beta^8 m^8 a
\end{aligned}
\tag{20}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{10} &= -Sa^9 + Q\left(4a^8 + \frac{9550}{2717}m^2a^6 + \frac{5032}{2717}m^4a^4 + \frac{30650}{46189}m^6a^2 + \frac{371}{4199}m^8\right. \\
&- k^3\alpha_2\left(\frac{606490}{46189}ma^4 + \frac{6399608}{969969}m^3a^2 - \frac{182530}{138567}m^5\right. \\
&- \left.\left. k^3\alpha_2\left(\frac{459700}{323323}m^2 - \frac{3246296}{138567}a^2\right)\right)\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{46189}k\alpha_4\left(184756a^{10} - 131702m^2a^8 - 122630m^4a^6 - 64624m^6a^4\right. \\
&+ 100166m^8a^2 + 34034m^{10} + Q\left(564540ma^6 - 1296110m^3a^4 + 898600m^5a^2\right. \\
&- \left.\left. 167030m^7 - k^3\alpha_2\left(39150a^4 - 78300m^2a^2 + 39150m^4\right)\right)\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{46189}\alpha_4^2M\left(30870a^{10} - 154350m^2a^8 + 308700m^4a^6 - 308700m^6a^4\right. \\
&+ \left. 154350m^8a^2 - 30870m^{10}\right) + \frac{1}{323}k\alpha_6\left(1292a^{10} - 1714m^2a^8 - 1924m^4a^6\right. \\
&+ \left. 3136m^6a^4 - 104m^8a^2 - 686m^{10} + Qm(566m^6 + 1698m^2a^4 - 1698m^4a^2 - 566a^6)\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{19}k\alpha_8\left(76a^{10} - 257m^2a^8 + 268m^4a^6 - 22m^6a^4 - 112m^8a^2 + 47m^{10}\right) \\
&- k\alpha_{10}\beta^{10}m^{10}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In section 4, we compare the static multipoles of the MN metric derived from (20) with the corresponding ones of different static metrics.

3.2 The Quevedo-Mashhoon Multipole Moments

In this case, the Ernst function take the following simple form

$$\xi = \frac{(\sigma x + ia)\tanh\psi + m}{(\sigma x - ia) + m\tanh\psi}. \tag{21}$$

Taking the first 10 even members of the function ψ,

$$\psi = \sum_{n=1}^{n=10} q_n P_n Q_n \qquad (P_n(1) = 1), \tag{22}$$

From the ER metric, the static massive quadrupole is given by $Q = 2qMm^2/15$. From the Kerr metric, one infers that the spin-dipole $S = Mac$. Using these relations, and β as in (19), one can write the relativistic multipole moments as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_0 &= M \\
\mathcal{S}_1 &= S \\
\mathcal{M}_2 &= -Sa + \beta^3 Q \\
\mathcal{S}_3 &= -Sa^2 + 2a\beta^3 Q \\
\mathcal{M}_4 &= Sa^3\left(1+\frac{8}{21}\beta q_2\right) - \frac{2}{7}\beta Q(m^2+9a^2) + \frac{8}{315}\beta^5 q_4 M m^4 \\
\mathcal{S}_5 &= Sa^4\left(1+\frac{52}{105}\beta q_2\right) - \frac{2}{7}\beta Q a(2m^2+11a^2) + \frac{16}{315}\beta^5 q_4 S m^4 \\
\mathcal{M}_6 &= -Sa^5\left(1+\frac{62}{105}\beta q_2 - \frac{16}{1155}q_2^2\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{231}Q\left(24q_2 m^2(3\beta^2 a^2 - m^2) + \beta(773a^4 + 258m^2a^2 - 8m^4)\right) \\
&- \frac{8}{3465}\beta q_4 M\left((37a^6 + 2m^6) + 3m^2a^2(11m^2 - 24a^2)\right) \\
&+ \frac{16}{3003}\beta q_6 M\left(m^6 - a^6 - 3\beta^2 m^4 a^2\right) \\
\mathcal{S}_7 &= -Sa^6\left(1+\frac{24}{35}\beta q_2 - \frac{712}{19305}q_2^2\right) \\
&+ \frac{4}{9009}Qa\left(623q_2 m^2(3\beta^2a^2 - m^2) + 39\beta\left(205a^4 + 96m^2a^2 - 4m^4\right)\right) \\
&- \frac{32}{3465}\beta q_4 S\left((13a^6 + m^6) + m^2a^2(11m^2 - 25a^2)\right) \\
&+ \frac{32}{3003}\beta q_6 S\left(m^6 - a^6 - 3\beta^2 m^4 a^2\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{23}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_8 &= Sa^7\left(1+\frac{2656}{3465}\beta q_2-\frac{17632}{225225}q_2^2-\frac{64}{96525}\beta q_2^3\right.\\
&+ \left.\frac{7088}{45045}\beta q_4-\frac{3616}{675675}q_2q_4+\frac{928}{45045}\beta q_6+\frac{128}{109395}\beta q_8\right)\\
&+ \frac{4}{3003}\beta Q\left(-2605a^6-1827m^2a^4+120m^4a^2-4m^6\right)\\
&+ \frac{16}{45045}q_2Q\left(4922a^6-4848m^2a^4+1542m^4a^2+37m^6\right)\\
&+ \frac{32}{6435}\beta q_2^2Q\left(4a^6-6m^2a^4+4m^4a^2-m^6\right)\\
&+ \frac{16}{45045}q_4Q\left(452a^6-678m^2a^4+452m^4a^2-113m^6\right)\\
&+ \frac{16}{45045}\beta q_4Mm^2\left(-830a^6+329m^2a^4+60m^4a^2-2m^6\right)\\
&+ \frac{32}{45045}\beta q_6Mm^2\left(-86a^6+84m^2a^4-26m^4a^2-m^6\right)\\
&+ \frac{128}{109395}\beta q_8Mm^2\left(-4a^6+6m^2a^4-4m^4a^2+m^6\right)\\
\mathcal{S}_9 &= Sa^8\left(1+\frac{2936}{3465}\beta q_2-\frac{171568}{1276275}q_2^2-\frac{5504}{4922775}\beta q_2^3\right.\\
&- \left.\frac{5856}{425425}q_2q_4+\frac{8768}{45045}\beta q_4+\frac{1376}{45045}\beta q_6+\frac{256}{109395}\beta q_8\right)\\
&+ \frac{4}{3003}\beta Qa\left(-2545a^6-2406m^2a^4+188m^4a^2-8m^6\right)\\
&+ \frac{8}{85085}Qq_2a\left(31842a^6-31188m^2a^4+9742m^4a^2+327m^6\right)\\
&+ \frac{16}{328185}\beta q_2^2Qa\left(688a^6-1032m^2a^4+688m^4a^2-172m^6\right)\\
&+ \frac{16}{85085}q_4Qa\left(2196a^6-3294m^2a^4+2196m^4a^2-549m^6\right)\\
&+ \frac{32}{45045}\beta q_4Sm^2\left(-505a^6+186m^2a^4+47m^4a^2-2m^6\right)\\
&+ \frac{32}{45045}\beta q_6Sm^2\left(-127a^6+123m^2a^4-37m^4a^2-2m^6\right)\\
&+ \frac{256}{109395}\beta q_8Sm^2\left(-4a^6+6m^2a^4-4m^4a^2+m^6\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{10} &= -Sa^9\left(1+\frac{41318}{45045}\beta q_2-\frac{78304}{373065}q_2^2-\frac{65216}{26189163}\beta q_2^3\right.\\
&- \frac{51552}{1616615}q_2q_4-\frac{1856}{4849845}\beta q_2^2q_4-\frac{18112}{14549535}q_2q_6+\frac{10448}{45045}\beta q_4\\
&- \left.\frac{896}{2078505}q_4^2+\frac{33472}{765765}\beta q_6+\frac{10624}{2078505}\beta q_8+\frac{256}{969969}\beta q_{10}\right)\\
&+ \frac{1}{969969}\beta Q\left(2995461a^8+4048532m^2a^6-397840m^4a^4+27600m^6a^2-896m^8\right)\\
&+ \frac{16}{2909907}q_2Q\left(-843844a^8+812776m^2a^6-238254m^4a^4-17939m^6a^2+962m^8\right)\\
&+ \frac{32}{8729721}\beta q_2^2Q\left(-17008a^8+17082m^2a^6-148m^4a^4-8393m^6a^2+3372m^8\right)\\
&+ \frac{16}{2909907}q_4Q\left(-173614a^8+259486m^2a^6-171744m^4a^4+42001m^6a^2+374m^8\right)\\
&+ \frac{928}{323323}\beta q_2q_4Q\left(-5a^8+10m^2a^6-10m^4a^4+5m^6a^2-m^8\right)\\
&+ \frac{9056}{969969}q_6Q\left(-5a^8+10a^6m^2-10a^4m^4+5a^2m^6-m^8\right)\\
&+ \frac{16}{14549535}\beta q_4Mm^2\left(378672a^8-121361m^2a^6-49730a^4m^4+3450m^6a^2-112m^8\right)\\
&+ \frac{896}{2078505}q_4^2Mm^2\left(-5a^8+10m^2a^6-10m^4a^4+5m^6a^2-m^8\right)\\
&+ \frac{32}{14549535}\beta q_6Mm^2\left(58065a^8-54895m^2a^6+15035m^4a^4+1725a^2m^6-56m^8\right)\\
&+ \frac{128}{2078505}\beta q_8Mm^2\left(330a^8-490m^2a^6+320m^4a^4-75m^6a^2-2m^8\right)\\
&+ \frac{256}{969969}\beta q_{10}Mm^2\left(5a^8-10a^6m^2+10a^4m^4-5a^2m^6+m^8\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

The MN and the QM metric have general multipoles structures. They might be useful to represent the spacetime of real non-collapsed body due to its large freedom in choosing the multipole moments of the exterior gravitational field. Nevertheless, the higher order spin moments cannot be chosen freely but are totally determined by the set of metric parameters. A comparison of ER multipole moments deduced from (23) with those of different static metrics is given in the next section.

4 Comparisons with Static Metrics

For a comparison with other static metrics, we consider the Gutsunayev-Manko (GM) [13] and the Hernández-Martín spacetimes (HM I and II) [16]. These metrics are static solutions of the EFE and might be related with the external gravitational field of a body with mass and quadrupole moment. The differences of the metrics are in the fields ψ and γ. To obtain

the multipole moments of these metrics, the FHP procedure was also employed. In the case of the generalized ER metric, we have from (23) the following mass multipoles

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_0 &= M \\
\mathcal{M}_2 &= Q \\
\mathcal{M}_4 &= \frac{2}{21}\left(-3Q + 60q_4 M m^2\right) m^2 \\
\mathcal{M}_6 &= -\frac{8}{3003}\left(13(1+3q_2)Q + 30(13q_4 - 15q_6)Mm^2\right) m^4 \\
\mathcal{M}_8 &= -\frac{16}{765765}\left((255 - 629q_2 + 238q_2^2 + 1921q_4)Q\right. \\
&+ \left. 30(255q_4 + 255q_6 - 420q_8)Mm^2\right) m^6 \\
\mathcal{M}_{10} &= -\frac{32}{2909907}\left((84 - 481q_2 - 1124q_2^2 - 187q_4 + 261q_2q_4 + 849q_6)Q\right. \\
&+ \left. 30(84q_4 + 294q_4^2 + 84q_6 + 84q_8 - 180q_{10})Mm^2\right) m^8.
\end{aligned}$$

In the case of the MN metric if $\alpha = a = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_0 &= M = k \\
\mathcal{M}_2 &= Q \\
\mathcal{M}_4 &= -\frac{8}{7}Qm^2 - \alpha_4 Mm^4 \\
\mathcal{M}_6 &= Qm\left(\frac{19}{33}m^3 + \frac{60}{77}k^3\alpha_2\right) + \frac{1}{11}Mm^6(17\alpha_4 - 11\alpha_6) \\
\mathcal{M}_8 &= -Q\left(\frac{34}{143}m^6 + k^3\alpha_2\left(\frac{4364}{3003}m^3 + \frac{40}{143}k^3\alpha_2\right)\right) \\
&- \alpha_4 Mm^5\left(\frac{16}{13}m^3 + \frac{226}{143}k^3\alpha_2\right) + Mm^8(2\alpha_6 - \alpha_8) \\
\mathcal{M}_{10} &= Qm^2\left(\frac{371}{4199}m^6 + k^3\alpha_2\left(\frac{182530}{138567}m^3 + k^3\alpha_2\frac{459700}{323323}\right)\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{46189}\alpha_4 Mm^4\left(34034m^6 + k^3\alpha_2\left(167030m^3 + 39150k^3\alpha_2\right)\right) \\
&- \frac{30870}{46189}\alpha_4^2 Mm^{10} - \frac{1}{323}\alpha_6 Mm^7(686m^3 + 566k^3\alpha_2) \\
&+ \frac{1}{19}Mm^{10}(47\alpha_8 - 19\alpha_{10}).
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

For the GM metric [13] and the HM [16], one uses the following Ernst potential

$$\xi = \frac{x\tanh\psi + 1}{x + \tanh\psi}. \tag{25}$$

The field ψ for the GM is given by

$$\psi = q\frac{x}{(x^2-y^2)^3}(x^2-3x^2y^2+3y^2-y^4) \tag{26}$$

and the lowest six mass multipoles read

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_0 &= M \\
\mathcal{M}_2 &= Q \\
\mathcal{M}_4 &= \frac{6}{7}Qm^2 \\
\mathcal{M}_6 &= \frac{8}{231}Qm^4\left(14-45q\right) \\
\mathcal{M}_8 &= \frac{8}{3003}Qm^6\left(84-1282q-420q^2\right) \\
\mathcal{M}_{10} &= \frac{32}{3927}Qm^8\left(\frac{2772}{247}-\frac{1343804}{2717}q-\frac{50}{1463}q^2\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $Q = 2qMm^2$.
For static metrics, Hernández and Martín [16] have shown that the Weyl moments a_l, defined by

$$\psi = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\frac{a_l}{r^{l+1}}P_l(\cos\theta)$$

($(r,\,\theta)$ are spherical Weyl coordinates), can be found if the relativistic multipole moments are given and vice versa. It is easy to see that for a stationary metric, one can invert the FHP formulas to find the metric coefficients. We have written a REDUCE program (available upon request) to invert the FHP formulas. Thus, the metric structure can be determined in principle from the relativistic multipole moments. There are two HM solutions. In case of the first HM metric, the field ψ is given by

$$\psi = \frac{5}{8}q\left[\frac{1}{4}\left((3x^2-1)(3y^2-1)-4\right)\ln\left[\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right]-\frac{2x}{(x^2-y^2)}+\frac{3}{2}x(3y^2-1)\right]$$

and the lowest mass multipoles are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_0 &= M \\
\mathcal{M}_2 &= Q \\
\mathcal{M}_4 &= 0 \\
\mathcal{M}_6 &= -\frac{60}{77} Q m^4 \\
\mathcal{M}_8 &= -\frac{4}{3003} q Q m^6 \left(265 + 210 q\right) \\
\mathcal{M}_{10} &= \frac{4}{3927} q Q m^8 \left(-\frac{104370}{714} + \frac{769125}{1729} q\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q = qMm^2$.
For the second HM metric, the field ψ is given by (27) plus a second term with the parameter q^2, see [16]. The lowest six mass multipoles are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_0 &= M \\
\mathcal{M}_2 &= Q \\
\mathcal{M}_4 &= 0 \\
\mathcal{M}_6 &= 0 \\
\mathcal{M}_8 &= -\frac{40}{143} q^2 Q m^6 \\
\mathcal{M}_{10} &= -\frac{42140}{46189} q^2 Q m^8.
\end{aligned}
$$

The multipole structures of the ER, the static MN, the GM and the HM metrics are different and the spacetimes are not isometric to each other. For modelling the exterior metric of a realistic static body the generalized ER metric and MN metric with its infinitely many independent mass moments q_n and α_n that can be chosen freely might be employed.

5 Conclusions

In this contribution, we gave a brief review of the QM spacetime and the MN metric. These metrics contain an infinite set of multipole moments that can be chosen freely. Moreover, the relativistic multipole moments for these metrics were derived using the corresponding Ernst function and the FHP formalism. The Ernst function takes a simple form for even α_n and q_n and then it allows us to deduce the GH moments. In principle, it is possible to extent the REDUCE program to include zonal harmonics with odd values of n (that violate equatorial symmetry).
The GH moments for the generalized ER metric and those of the static MN metric were compared with those of other metrics, namely the GM and HM (I an II) metrics. The

multipole structure of these ER and MN metrics are more general than the other ones. Due to the parameter freedom, in principle, it might be more useful for the description of the exterior gravitational field of a real static body.

References

[1] A. Belinsky and V. E. Zakharov. Integration of the Einstein equations by means of the inverse scattering problem technique and construction of exact soliton solutions. Soviet Physics (JETP), 48(6), 985–994, 1978.
http://www.jetp.ac.ru/cgi-bin/dn/e_048_06_0985.pdf

[2] A. Belinsky and V. E. Zakharov. Stationary gravitational solitons with axial symmetry. Soviet Physics (JETP), 50(1), 1–9, 1979.
http://www.jetp.ac.ru/cgi-bin/dn/e_050_01_0001.pdf

[3] K.Boshkayev, H. Quevedo, and R. Ruffini. Gravitational field of compact objects in general relativity. Physical Review D 86, 064043, 2012.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.064043

[4] M. Carmeli. Classical Fields: General Relativity and Gauge Theory.
World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 2001.
http://www.worldscientific.com/worldscibooks/10.1142/4843

[5] C. M. Cosgrove. Relationships Between the Group Theoretic and Soliton Theoretic Techniques for Generating Stationary Axisymmetric Gravitational Solutions. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 21, 2417, 1980. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.524680

[6] W. Dietz and C. Hoenselaers. A New Class of Bipolar Vacuum Gravitational Fields. Proceedings of the Royal Society A, 382 221–229, 1982.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1982.0098

[7] F. J. Ernst. New Formulation of the Axially Symmetric Gravitational Field Problem. Physical Review, 167(5), 1175–1178, 1968.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.167.1175

[8] F. J. Ernst. New Formulation of the Axially Symmetric Gravitational Field Problem II. Physical Review, 168(5), 1415–1417, 1968.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.168.1415

[9] R. Filter. Multipolmomente axialsymmetrisch stationärer Raumzeiten und die Quadrupol-Vermutung. Diplom-thesis (in german), Jena, Germany, 2008.
http://robertfilter.net/files/Diplomarbeit_RobertFilter.pdf

[10] G. Fodor, C. Hoenselaers, and Z. Perjés. Multipole moments of axisymmetric systems in relativity. Journal of Mathematical Physics 30, 2252–2257, 1989.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.528551

[11] R. Geroch. Multipole moments. II. Curved space Journal of Mathematical Physics, 11(8), 2580–2588, 1970. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1665427

[12] Y. Gürsel. Multipole moments for stationary systems: The equivalence of the Geroch-Hansen formulation and the Thorne formulation. General Relativity and Gravitation, 15(8), 737–754, 1983. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01031881

[13] Ts. I. Gutsunayev and V. S. Manko. On the gravitational field of a mass possessing a multipole moment. General Relativity and Gravitation, 17(11), 1025–1027, 1985. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00774205

[14] R. O. Hansen. Multipole moments of stationary space-times. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 15(1):46–52, 1974. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1666501

[15] A. C. Hearn. REDUCE (Users and Contributed Packages Manual), Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum für Informationstechnik, Berlin, 2004. http://www.reduce-algebra.com/docs/reduce.pdf

[16] J. L. Hernández-Pastora and J. Martí. Monopole-quadrupole static axisymmetric solutions of Einstein field equations. General Relativity and Gravitation, 26(9): 877–907, 1994. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02107146

[17] C. Hoenselaers, W. Kinnersley, and B. C. Xanthopoulos. Symmetries of the Stationary Einstein-Maxwell Equations. VI. Transformations Which Generate Asymptotically Flat Spacetimes with Arbitrary Multipole Moments. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 20, 2530–2536, 1979. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.523580

[18] C. Hoenselaers and Z. Perjés. Multipole moments of axisymmetric electrovacuum space-times Classical and Quantum Gravity, 7(10):1819–1825, 1990. http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/7/10/012

[19] V. S. Manko and I. D. Novikov. Generalizations of the Kerr and Kerr-Newman metrics possessing an arbitrary set of mass-multipole moments, Classcical and Quatum Gravity, 9, 2477–2487, 1992. http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/9/11/013

[20] M. Panhans and M. Soffel. Gravito-magnetism of an extended celestial body. Classical and Quantum Gravity, 31(24), 2014. http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/31/24/245012

[21] H. Quevedo and B. Mashhoon. Exterior Gravitational Field of a Rotating Deformed Mass. Physics Letters, 109A(1, 2), 13–18, 1985. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(85)90381-0

[22] H. Quevedo. Class of stationary axisymmetric solutions of Einstein's equations in empty space. Physical Review D, 33(2), 324–327, 1986. http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.33.324

[23] H. Quevedo. General static axisymmetric solution of Einstein's vacuum field equations in prolate spheroidal coordinates. Physical Review D, 39(10), 2904–2911, 1989. http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.39.2904

[24] H. Quevedo and B. Mashhoon. Exterior gravitational field of a charged rotating mass with arbitrary quadrupole moment. Physics Letters, 148A(3, 4), 149–153, 1990. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/10.1016/0375-9601(90)90770-O

[25] H. Quevedo. Multipole Moments in General Relativity – Static and Stationary Vacuum Solutions. Fortschritte der Physik, 38(10), 733–840, 1990. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/prop.2190381002

[26] H. Quevedo and B. Mashhoon. Generalization of Kerr spacetime. Physical Review D, 43(12), 3902–3906, 1991. http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.43.3902

[27] H. Quevedo. Exterior and interior metrics with quadrupole moment. General Relativity and Gravitation, 43(4), 1141–1152, 2011. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10714-010-0940-5

[28] F. D. Ryan Gravitational waves from the inspiral of a compact object into a massive, axisymmetric body with arbitrary multipole moments. Physical Review D, 52, 5707, 1995. http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.52.5707

[29] W. Simon and R. Beig. The multipole structure of stationary space-times. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 24(5):1163-1171, 1983. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.525846

[30] P. Teyssandier. Rotating stratified ellipsoids of revolution and their effects on the dragging of inertial frames. Physical Review D 18, 1037–1046, 1978. http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.18.1037

[31] K. S. Thorne. Multipole expansions of gravitational radiation. Reviews on Modern Physics, 52(2):299–340, 1980. http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.52.299

[32] M. Yamazaki On the Kerr-Tomimatsu-Sato family of solutions with nonintegral distortion parameter. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 19(9):1847–1849, 1978. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.523925

[33] M. Yamazaki On the Hoenselaers-Kinnersley-Xanthopoulos spinning mass fields. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 22(1):133–135, 1981. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.524744